UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,060	04/02/2004	Richard J. Schneider	AC038 (26668-113)	2661
	7590 07/07/200 sdale LLP (IGT - <b>266</b> 6	EXAMINER		
Robert B. Reeser, III			PANDYA, SUNIT	
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/817,060	SCHNEIDER, RICHARD J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SUNIT PANDYA	3714		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the followance.	which places the or (3) a Request
periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, w no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	ction.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ILLD WITHIN 1000
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropri have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriated are the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	oriate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mont	ths of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered by	pecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	the issues for
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The submitted After Final Amendments raise new issues, especially regard to a secondary indica	tor, thus resulting
in a change in claim scope, hence further search is required. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment	, (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment non-allowable claim(s).	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-21</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will n because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fa showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attack REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowed	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:	
/JAMES S. MCCLELLAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714	
i finding Examinion, fait offit of it	